Case3:12-cv-06003-CRB Document76-3 Filed05/10/13 Page1 of 2 1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP JOSEPH E. FLOREN, State Bar No. 168292 2 KIM ALEXANDER KANE, State Bar No. 226896 One Market Street, Spear Street Tower 3 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel./Fax: 415.442.1000/1001 4 ifloren@morganlewis.com kkane@morganlewis.com 5 ROBERT E. GOODING, JR., State Bar No. 50617 6 JENNIFER R. BAGOSY, State Bar No. 223145 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750 7 Irvine, CA 92614 Tel./Fax: 949.399.7000/7001 8 rgooding@morganlewis.com jbagosy@morganlewis.com 9 MARC J. SONNENFELD (pro hac vice) 10 KAREN PIESLAK POHLMANN (pro hac vice) 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 11 Tel./Fax: 215.963.5000/5001 12 msonnenfeld@morganlewis.com kpohlmann@morganlewis.com 13 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY 14 15 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 18 Master File No. 12-CV-6003 CRB IN RE HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY 19 SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 20 NOMINAL DEFENDANT HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY'S MOTION 21 **TO STAY** 22 Date: Friday, June 21, 2013 This Document Relates to: All Actions 23 Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor 24 Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer 25 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING HP'S MOTION TO MASTER FILE NO. 12-CV-6003 CRB

STAY

| 1 | Following hearing on due notice, Nominal Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company's |
|----|---|
| 2 | ("HP's") Motion to Stay Proceedings came on for hearing before the Court. Counsel for Plaintiff |
| 3 | and counsel for Nominal Defendant appeared. |
| 4 | Having considered HP's Motion to Stay and all other papers and arguments submitted in |
| 5 | support thereof and in opposition thereto, and for good cause appearing therefore, it is the holding |
| 6 | of the Court that Nominal Defendant HP's Motion to Stay Proceedings is granted. This action |
| 7 | shall be stayed until the earlier of (a) when the independent committee, formed by HP's Board of |
| 8 | Directors to investigate potential claims by the Company, completes its investigation and makes a |
| 9 | recommendation to the Board, and the Board makes its decision whether to pursue this litigation; |
| 10 | or (b) when the Court decides a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint filed in <i>In re HP</i> |
| 11 | Securities Litigation, No. 12-cv-5980 CRB, the parallel putative class action alleging claims for |
| 12 | violations of the federal securities laws; and in any event not later than (c) January 17, 2014. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Dated: |
| 17 | HON. CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 18 | |
| 9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING HP'S MOTION TO 1 MASTER FILE NO. 12-CV-6003 CRB |